

## Research Article

# TCP-Call Admission Control Interaction in Multiplatform Space Architectures

Georgios Theodoridis,<sup>1</sup> Cesare Roseti,<sup>2</sup> Niovi Pavlidou,<sup>1</sup> and Michele Luglio<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical & Computing Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettronica, Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Via del Politecnico 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

Received 28 September 2006; Revised 3 March 2007; Accepted 18 May 2007

Recommended by Anton Donner

The implementation of efficient call admission control (CAC) algorithms is useful to prevent congestion and guarantee target quality of service (QoS). When TCP protocol is adopted, some inefficiencies can arise due to the peculiar evolution of the congestion window. The development of cross-layer techniques can greatly help to improve efficiency and flexibility for wireless networks. In this frame, the present paper addresses the introduction of TCP feedback into the CAC procedures in different nonterrestrial wireless architectures. CAC performance improvement is shown for different space-based architectures, including both satellites and high altitude platform (HAP) systems.

Copyright © 2007 Georgios Theodoridis et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The development of network architectures including the space segment (GEO satellites) aims to provide telecommunication services in wide geographical areas. The space segment can complement or even replace the terrestrial infrastructure wherever the latter either fails or is not cost effective. As a matter of fact, along with the evolution of new technological solutions, such as high altitude platforms (HAPs) [1], next generation networks are envisioned as the integration of several different subsystems, unconditionally interoperating among one another [2]. Various architectures including combinations of GEO and HAPs are under continuous study so as to take advantage of each segment's most favorable features in terms of coverage area, easy and quick deployment, robustness to failure and to disaster occurrence, and so forth.

On the other hand, some of the protocols and techniques supporting the communication through this heterogeneous wireless environment could be inadequate, since they are specifically designed for wired networks. Nevertheless, these protocols and techniques are worth being utilized due to their many desirable characteristics and to the fact that the wireless path is usually only a segment of the whole route between the sender and the receiver.

One of these protocols is TCP [3, 4], which is the predominant protocol at the transport layer when dealing with

the very popular Internet-based applications. It presents several impairments when it is implemented in wireless environments [5, 6]. In brief, TCP, originally designed to work well over wired congested network, considers all losses as an explicit indication of network congestion [7]. Therefore, TCP control rate leads to unnecessary rate reductions and then to severe performance degradation without taking into account error-prone wireless links. Communication involving long-delay segments (i.e., geostationary satellites), emphasizes such an impairment slowing down the reversion to the previous transmission rate.

In addition, the presence of asymmetric links may slow down the acknowledgement flow causing problems in the forward channel as well, since TCP misinterprets the overall RTT increase as a congestion notification in the data direction.

In parallel, CAC has evolved into one of the most significant bandwidth management tools in the case of both wired and wireless networks. However, the efficiency of the CAC process is highly dependent on the accuracy of the available info concerning the transmission rate of the serviced connections, not only at the time instant that the CAC algorithm is executed but also for the whole duration of these connections. In particular, the CAC algorithm must be able to make a safe prediction regarding the availability of resources in the long term in order to decide if a new connection can be admitted into the system [8, 9].

In this frame, the present paper investigates the possibility of introducing an interaction between TCP and CAC in several nonterrestrial wireless architectures, in order to improve CAC efficiency by taking TCP dynamics into account. More specifically, the exploitation of TCP feedback as input for the CAC algorithm at regular time intervals has proved to be of primary importance for maximizing the utilization of the network resources [10]. However, as the TCP performance is rather dependent on the characteristics of the communication path, the implementation of the CAC-TCP interaction on different system architectures will introduce meaningful improvements in all the architectures showing such characteristics, demonstrating a more general importance of the concept. Additionally, so far, limited work can be found in the literature on this topic.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a brief analysis of the TCP driven CAC concept, while Section 3 includes an overview of possible architectures. Section 4 presents a description of the reference architectures and the simulation scenario, along with results comparing the efficiency of the proposed algorithm in the several space architectures. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the conclusions.

## 2. THE CONCEPT OF A TCP-BASED CAC

TCP is a transport layer protocol based on sending data packets upon reception of acknowledgement of previously sent packets, thus guaranteeing high reliability. When the network is characterized by significant round trip time (RTT), as in the case a satellite path is included, this process can significantly slow down data transfer.

TCP can exploit congestion control either through an ACK counting mechanism (the actions on the sliding window are just based on the number of received ACKs) [3] or through the byte-counting scheme (the actions on the sliding window are based on the actual number of bytes acknowledged) [11].

When the communication path is not error-free (usual in wireless networks) TCP misinterprets the data loss due to the harsh wireless reception conditions as congestion occurrence. As a consequence, for every packet loss, TCP reduces the actual transmission rate, limiting the bandwidth utilization of the connection far below its nominal value.

This inefficiency is meaningful in wireless networks since the radio resource is usually scarce and expensive. Particularly in GEO satellite, the large footprints limit the implementation of frequency reuse, thus reducing system capacity. Therefore, achieving maximum utilization of the available bandwidth must be the primary goal of every network configuration.

On the other hand, CAC is implemented by the network manager as a preventive congestion control scheme. CAC algorithms decide upon the admittance/rejection of new connections based on the network conditions (traffic load, link capacity, buffer size, etc.) as well as the traffic characteristics and the QoS objectives of both the candidate and the already active users. In this framework, the aim of CAC is twofold: (i) to guarantee that the QoS requirements of all the admitted

users are met, and (ii) maximize revenue from the network's perspective, that is, optimize the utilization of the available resources [8]. However, achieving these objectives is rather difficult, since CAC is inherently an "in advance" procedure and no traffic model can offer a priori a completely accurate prediction, in particular considering the heterogeneity of multimedia telecommunication traffic sources. Therefore, real-time measurements of each connection's load and conditions are considered essential for the CAC's effectiveness [9].

CAC functionality is based on the concept that the used bandwidth plus the bandwidth of the upcoming user should be lower or equal to the total capacity. As a matter of fact the following condition must be always respected:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N B_i + B_f \leq c. \quad (1)$$

Since always  $B_{j\_TCP\_datarate} \leq B_{j\_nominal\_datarate}$ , the exploitation of the TCP feedback leads to a decrease in the system overall blocking probability. Moreover, the bandwidth assigned to each connection is equal to the real data rate of the connection monitored via the TCP performance. Therefore, having maximized the average number of the users simultaneously active in the network and having minimized the over-assignment of resources, the throughput of the network, defined as the percentage of the aggregate capacity that is actually occupied by the set of active connections, is radically improved.

In this frame, the possibility to get feedback information about TCP congestion window actual evolution would be of primary importance in order to efficiently drive CAC scheme. In fact, since the CAC algorithm, by taking into account the actual amount of capacity necessary to exploit all the TCP connections, could prevent the over provision of bandwidth to the aforementioned connections, a better utilization of the network resources would be achieved. In this way, the admission/rejection of the new user would be based on the actual occupancy of the channel by the active users at the time instant of a new user arrival, computed according to the TCP congestion window state of the connections instead of their nominal data rate. The above scheme is depicted in the flow chart of Figure 1.

## 3. SUITABLE ARCHITECTURES FOR CAC-TCP INTERACTION

The potential improvement introduced by the implementation of the integrated CAC-TCP scheme is addressed in various nonterrestrial wireless architectures, where either the high propagation delay and/or the occurrence of transmission errors negatively impact TCP performance by leading to an unjustified decrease of the transmission data rate.

In particular, four different architectures are introduced and described, focusing on the potential drawbacks concerning optimal TCP working.




FIGURE 1: Cross-layer CAC-TCP flow chart.




FIGURE 2: Stand-alone GEO satellite.

### 3.1. Stand-alone GEO satellite

A system architecture based on a stand alone GEO satellite (Figure 2) implies a rather challenging environment for TCP performance. Such an architecture presents a *long propagation path* (in average about 80 000 km end to end) along with *transmission errors* quantified in terms of BER (depending on propagation channel conditions) and link unavailability, par-

ticularly meaningful in case of use of high frequencies and/or terminal mobility.

The large latency-bandwidth product could cause two harmful effects:

- (i) the pipe size, indicating the amount on unacknowledged data that can be “in-flight” in a given instant, could exceed the buffer limits in the existing implementations resulting in a suboptimal maximum throughput;
- (ii) the high latency entails a considerable time interval to open the TCP sliding window, when a new connection starts (slow-start algorithm). Similarly, in the case of losses, the reaction of TCP is very slow, increasing the time needed to return to high transmission rates.

### 3.2. Stand-alone HAP

HAPS are characterized by the utilization of a platform located in the stratosphere (about 20 km from ground), allowing very fast deployment, low cost, less critical communication parameters, flexible architecture but limited coverage (Figure 3). The proximity of the HAP to the ground minimizes the propagation delay, being distances comparable to the ones in terrestrial wireless systems [1, 12].




FIGURE 3: Stand-alone HAP.

Nevertheless, since HAP systems work also in millimeter-wave bands (47/48 GHz), in that case rain attenuation and scattering constitute a severe constraint in achieving good TCP performance. Some studies indicate a two-state (good-bad) Markov model as a suitable error model [13]. Therefore, the packet-error rate (PER) experienced by the TCP can be approximated by the probability of the bad channel conditions.

Depending on the PER value, TCP congestion window continuously stops its growth resulting in “fast retransmit and fast recovery” (FR-FR) or even timeout expirations, when due to the loss of a large burst of segments, sender does not receive any feedback (i.e., duplicate ACKs). In the latter case, TCP remains in an idle state for several seconds and resets its window to one segment.

### 3.3. Integrated GEO satellite—HAP

In order to allow HAPS users to communicate with remote locations, a link between the HAPS and the satellite can be envisaged, as depicted in Figure 4.

Being the GEO-HAP segment outside the atmosphere and in line-of-sight (LoS) conditions, errors are due to free space losses and thermal noise and quantified in terms of BER. On the contrary, the PER of the overall link is predominately defined by the HAP-ground segment, where significant transmission errors can occur depending on the utilized frequency and on eventual ground terminal mobility. Thus, from the PER point of view an integrated GEO-HAP architecture is equivalent to the stand-alone HAP case. Moreover, the use of GEO satellite as an intermediate node introduces long RTT, adding the drawbacks in the TCP dynamics detected in the stand-alone GEO satellite scenario.

### 3.4. HAP constellation

Finally, we consider the architecture of Figure 5, where the coverage area is served by a constellation of HAPS; inter-HAP links are also set up [14]. If the data is forwarded to the destination HAP via one (or more) of its neighboring HAPS, although the propagation delay is kept low, the end-to-end reception conditions could possibly become harsher, due to the imperfections of the inter-HAP links. The aforementioned imperfections could be mostly due to the stabilization problems of the platforms, which would result in corresponding pointing difficulties regarding the optical links that are envisioned for such an inter-HAP communication.




FIGURE 4: Integrated GEO satellite—HAP.

Then, in this scenario, TCP performance suffers mainly from the problems arisen in the stand-alone HAP architecture.

## 4. EVALUATION OF THE CAC-TCP INTERACTION IN SPACE ARCHITECTURES

### 4.1. Reference architectures

Summarizing, TCP performance over radio links, including one or more space systems, relies primarily on two factors:

- (1) the delay imposed by the space segment (RTT),
- (2) the reception error probability of the wireless space-user channel (PER).

The adopted TCP scheme, based on ACK counting, leads to same efficiency as achievable when using the byte-counting algorithm [11], because all the correctly delivered TCP packets are considered immediately acknowledged by the corresponding ACK (ACK are not delayed).

Thus, in order to evaluate the efficiency as well as the necessity of a TCP driven CAC scheme, only three different network architectures, based on the boundary conditions in terms of RTT and PER (or both), are selected to be simulated in the present paper. They are stand-alone GEO (Figure 2), stand-alone HAP (Figure 3), and integrated GEO satellite-HAP (Figure 4). In the following, the most meaningful implemented features concerning the selected architectures, are described. In all the three architectures losses affect both ACK and TCP packet flows (ACK losses have a slight impact on the overall performance due to the cumulative nature of ACKs [4]).

#### Stand-alone GEO architecture

Data originating from the core network are forwarded via a gateway toward the GEO satellite, which transparently redirects the stream (bent-pipe satellite) to the end users. Users are considered to be fixed and equipped with VSATs appropriately mounted so as to guarantee line-of-sight (LoS) condition for the satellite-user link. Therefore, since the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is not only maximized but also relatively invariant due to the absence of mobility, low PER value can




FIGURE 5: HAP constellation.

be assumed. Moreover, the gateway-satellite link is typically dimensioned to be error free.

### Stand-alone HAPS

In comparison with the previous architecture, the GEO satellite has been replaced with a HAP, while the data flow maintains the same characteristics. The proximity of the HAP to the earth greatly decreases link latency and facilitates the connectivity of mobile users. In more detail, in the GEO satellite scenario, a mobile terminal should be equipped with high power transmission amplifier as well as sizeable antennas, so as to compensate the high free-space attenuation imposed by the long propagation path. These features lead to bulky and power consuming (limited autonomy) terminals, completely inappropriate for mobile use. On the contrary, providing access via a HAP located at an altitude of 20 km allows the use of small, cost-efficient, and user-friendly devices. Consequently, the stand-alone HAPS scenario considers mobile users, which are further divided into three categories based on their mobility characteristics: highway-users, suburban-users, urban-users. In particular, highway-users move in open areas with maximum LoS probability, while, as the city centre (suburban and urban users) is approached, the higher building in combination with the narrow streets hinder the LOS path and the received signal is the result of successive reflections (multipath). Moreover, according to the channel model, even in the case of a highway user, the average PER is much higher than in case of a fixed user that is served by a GEO system.

### Integrated GEO satellite—HAP

The rationale behind the integration of the two systems is that one satellite can provide connectivity to multiple HAPs both among each other and toward the core network, without the deployment of extra infrastructures. In this case, as described in Section 3.4, the PER of the end-to-end link is determined by the PER of the user-HAP segment (equal to the of stand-alone HAP system), while the long RTT is imposed by the GEO-satellite segment (equal to the case of stand-alone GEO system).

As it becomes evident, the scenario involving a stand-alone GEO system with fixed users presents the highest RTT and the lowest PER, while the scenario involving a stand-alone HAP system presents the highest PER and the lowest

RTT. Finally, the integrated GEO-HAP scenario combines the characteristics of both of them, that is maximum RTT and maximum PER. Moreover, beyond the fact that these network scenarios present a wide range of RTT and PER values, they are also the most significant in terms of services and applications. Therefore, the analysis of these case studies can provide solid conclusions regarding the ability of the proposed TCP-CAC interaction to improve the network performance, in terms of both blocking probability and average throughput, in a great variety of channel conditions.

### 4.2. Simulation scenario and parameters

All the users are classified into three QoS classes according to the nominal rate of their connections: 128, 256, and 512 kbps. The implementation of a weighted priority CAC scheme, as the one proposed in [15], guarantees the provision of equitable service of multiple parallel flows with different bandwidth requirements. According to this admission control algorithm, the aggregate capacity of the system is divided into a number of segments equal to the number of QoS classes. The width of each segment (i.e., the capacity percentage assigned to each QoS class) is determined by manipulating the desired blocking probability ratio between the QoS classes. Thus, a new flow belonging to the  $QoS_i$  class is admitted to the network on the basis of the bandwidth committed to the particular  $QoS_i$  class. Instead, in the case of a CAC scheme without any prioritization based on QoS class, the users of the higher QoS classes would be practically excluded from the network, as it would be difficult to satisfy their excessive bandwidth needs and they would be usually blocked in favor of users with lower data rate requirements. Therefore, a weighted priority CAC scheme as defined in [15] has been taken as reference in our analysis presented hereafter. Furthermore, the TCP driven CAC scheme has been derived from exactly the same notion, with the only difference that, as it has been described in Section 2, the TCP-CAC algorithm takes into account the TCP feedback of the flows instead of their nominal data rate in the process of computing the utilization of the channel and the availability of resources.

Both the TCP driven and the reference CAC scheme, have been simulated through an offline combination of two simulation tools that run sequentially. In particular, the network simulator ns-2 [16] is used to configure the communication scenario (nodes, link parameters, and communication protocols) and to obtain TCP statistics. Additionally, a C++

simulation tool gets as input the TCP statistics and provides the following functionalities:

- (i) it runs alternatively either the reference or the TCP driven CAC scheme;
- (ii) it calculates the instantaneous and the average throughput of the network;
- (iii) it computes the connection blocking probability for each QoS class as well as the connection blocking probability of the network.

To reproduce a trustworthy network traffic, we have considered packet error distribution (derived at TCP level) compliant to the HAP communication characteristics [13, 17], while satellite-HAP or satellite-user terminal link have been considered as almost error free. The latter assumption is rather realistic since satellite gateway EIRP can be set in order to counterbalance the atmosphere attenuation. Then, depending on the terminal mobility, the following PER distributions have been considered.

- (i) Fixed and portable terminals have been assumed always in line-of-sight (LoS) with the HAP/satellite. Thus, uniform packet loss distributions (TCP level) are considered with relatively low mean values ( $10^{-4}$  for fixed terminals and  $10^{-3}$  for portable terminals).
- (ii) In case of mobile terminals, a two-state channel model [13] is considered to feature the alternating LoS and shadowing conditions. Durations of “bad” and “good” states depend on the motion environment according to the values reported in [17].

Furthermore, both arrival and termination of TCP connections are managed by the C++ event driven simulator as Poisson processes [15]. Thus, the time between two successive arrivals of users ( $\tau$ ) and the duration of each admitted connection ( $d$ ) follow exponential distribution with mean value  $1/\lambda$  and  $1/\mu$ , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pdf}(\tau) &= \lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda \cdot \tau}, & E[\tau] &= \frac{1}{\lambda}, \\ \text{pdf}(d) &= \mu \cdot e^{-\mu \cdot d}, & E[d] &= \frac{1}{\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The parameters  $E[d]$  and  $E[\tau]$  along with the aggregate number of users in the network ( $S$ ) determine the traffic load of the network ( $L$ ).

### 4.3. Results

The impact of the TCP-CAC interaction on all the three selected network configurations (GEO, HAPS, and GEO-HAPS) has been evaluated in terms of blocking probability and average throughput. Moreover, the blocking probability and the average throughput are calculated for both the basic and the TCP-based call admission control scheme.

Figure 6 presents the system blocking probability for different traffic loads. Regardless the network architecture, the basic-CAC algorithm leads in every case to the same blocking probability for the whole variety of traffic loads, which is




FIGURE 6: Blocking probability versus average traffic load.

expected, since only the nominal data rate of both the candidate and the already admitted users is taken into account during the acceptance/rejection procedure. The fluctuations in the TCP rate caused by the latency and the errors imposed by the different channels do not affect the admission procedure and therefore the curves regarding the basic-CAC algorithm for all the three scenarios completely coincide with each other. On the contrary, TCP-CAC algorithms present much lower blocking probability. Due to the TCP feedback, the system is able to calculate the actual occupancy of the available channels which is much lower than the one declared by the users initially during their admittance. Therefore, the unused bandwidth is reassigned to new users that would otherwise be blocked.

Figure 7 presents the improvement (decrease) introduced to the system blocking probability by the TCP driven CAC scheme in comparison to the basic-CAC scheme. It allows the reader to compare the impact of the proposed scheme on architectures with different propagation characteristics. As it becomes apparent,

$$BP\_decrease_{GEO} < BP\_decrease_{HAP} < BP\_decrease_{GEO-HAPS}. \quad (3)$$

This can be easily explained by the fact that in the case of the integrated GEO-HAPS system, the harsh reception environment (long latency and high reception error probability) leads to a severe degradation of the TCP performance and thus to an intense decrease in the actual data rate of the TCP connections. In fact, letting  $x$  be the aggregate amount of nominal traffic load applying for network resources and  $y$  the amount of traffic actually forwarded through the network channels, simulations have demonstrated that

$$\begin{aligned} x &> y_{GEO} > y_{GEO-HAPS}, \\ x &> y_{HAP} > y_{GEO-HAP}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$




FIGURE 7: Blocking probability decrease versus average traffic load.

This means that users of the GEO-HAPS network leave a great percentage of the system resources unutilized and thus, in comparison with other architectures, the number of users that can be simultaneously served by a channel of given capacity is much higher (lower blocking probability).

Moreover, results shown in Figure 7 lead us to the conclusion that the exploitation of TCP-feedback is much more crucial in a stand-alone HAP system (high PER, low RTT) than in a stand-alone GEO (high RTT, low PER) configuration. Then, an error prone communication path, even with low RTT, can cause abrupt decrease in the connection transmission rate.

Blocking probability and average throughput are the two main metrics of the network performance, each dealing with the issue of the system efficiency from a different perspective. Blocking probability must be minimized to maximize the QoS (minimum delay) guaranteed to the users, while average throughput must be maximized to maximize revenues for the network administrator. Figure 8 shows that there is always a tradeoff between these two factors: increased average throughput leads to increased blocking probability, while limitation of the blocking probability results in a low bandwidth utilization. In addition, from Figure 8 it is evident that, regardless of the network scenario, the implementation of the integrated TCP-CAC scheme results in the same average throughput for any given value of blocking probability. This is due to the fact that the admission control algorithm bases the acceptance/rejection decision upon the knowledge of the real traffic load forwarded at that given time through the network.

Therefore, a new connection is blocked only if there is no further available bandwidth. Thus, since the availability of resources occurs on the basis of the new connection nominal rate, for a given throughput, the blocking probability is the same for all the possible scenarios (GEO, GEO-HAPS, HAPS). On the contrary, the basic-CAC algorithm assumes




FIGURE 8: Average throughput versus blocking probability.

that the occupancy of the network capacity is equal to the aggregate of the nominal rates of all the active users. Consequently, the requests for new connections are rejected while there is still spare bandwidth. The average throughput for a given blocking probability relies now upon the amount of TCP data rate degradation. Therefore, the stand-alone GEO case presents the higher average throughput and the integrated GEO-HAPS architecture the minimum one, as they present, respectively, the minimum and the maximum decrease in the TCP data rate.

Finally, according to Figure 9 the lower the network blocking probability is, the higher the gain from the utilization of the TCP feedback is. Moreover, the gain for the scenarios with the worst reception conditions is higher, since the basic-CAC algorithm severely limits the system throughput.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

New and innovative wireless telecommunication architectures (including HAPs and satellite segments) are identified to provide broadband services in a cost-efficient and ubiquitous manner, ensuring seamless interoperation with the existing infrastructure. To ensure network efficiency for such architectures it is worth optimizing the performance of protocols originally designed for terrestrial networks and for classical architectures. Cross-layer techniques are becoming fundamental to cope with the dynamic variations characterizing wireless environments. The present paper focuses on optimal utilization of the precious wireless resources when flows running TCP share the channel. Referring to 5 different architectures based on HAP/satellite links, we have analyzed the potential drawbacks leading to suboptimal end-to-end performance. A TCP driven CAC scheme has been proposed in order to guarantee QoS for multimedia services with different bandwidth requirements, guarantee an




FIGURE 9: Average throughput increase versus blocking probability.

optimal resource utilization, and reduce the system blocking probability, without altering the TCP standard mechanisms.

Through simulation, we demonstrated a considerable improvement on the performance with respect to a reference CAC algorithm that takes into account only QoS requirements and physical parameters.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper has been supported by the European IST-FP6 project: “SatNex II—Satellite Communications Network of Excellence II.”

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. C. Tozer and D. Grace, “High-altitude platforms for wireless communications,” *Electronics and Communication Engineering Journal*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 127–137, 2001.
- [2] S. Uskela, “Key concepts for evolution toward beyond 3G networks,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 43–48, 2003.
- [3] J. Postel, “Transmission Control Protocol,” IETF RFC 793, September 1981.
- [4] W. Stevens, *TCP/IP Illustrated. Volume 1: The Protocols*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, USA, 1994.
- [5] C. Partridge and T. J. Shepard, “TCP/IP performance over satellite links,” *IEEE Network*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 44–49, 1997.
- [6] P. Loreti, M. Luglio, R. Kapoor, et al., “Satellite systems performance with TCP-IP applications,” in *Proceedings of IEEE Military Communications Conference on Communications for Network-Centric Operations: Creating the Information Force (MILCOM '01)*, vol. 2, pp. 811–815, McLean, Va, USA, October 2001.
- [7] W. Stevens, “TCP Slow Start, Congestion Avoidance, Fast retransmit, and Fast recovery Algorithms,” Internet RFC 2001, 1997.
- [8] H. G. Perros and K. M. Elsayed, “Call admission control schemes: a review,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 82–91, 1996.
- [9] K. Shiimoto, N. Yamanaka, and T. Takahashi, “Overview of measurement-based connection admission control methods in ATM networks,” *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 2–13, 1999.
- [10] C. Roseti, G. Theodoridis, M. Luglio, and N. Pavlidou, “TCP driven CAC scheme for HAPS and satellite integrated scenario,” in *International Workshop on High Altitude Platform Systems (WHAPS '05)*, Athens, Greece, September 2005.
- [11] M. Allman, “TCP Congestion Control with Appropriate Byte Counting (ABC),” RFC 3465, February 2003.
- [12] S. Karapantazis and N. Pavlidou, “Broadband communications via high-altitude platforms: a survey,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 2–31, 2005.
- [13] J. L. Cuevas-Ruiz and J. A. Delgado-Penín, “Channel model based on semi-Markovian processes: an approach for HAPS systems,” in *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Computers (CONIELECOMP '04)*, pp. 52–56, Veracruz, Mexico, February 2004.
- [14] R. Miura and M. Oodo, “Wireless communications system using stratospheric platforms: R & D program on telecom and broadcasting system using high altitude platform stations,” *Journal of the Communications Research Laboratory*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 33–48, 2001.
- [15] B. M. Epstein and M. Schwartz, “Predictive QoS-based admission control for multiclass traffic in cellular wireless networks,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 523–534, 2000.
- [16] K. Fall and K. Varadhan, *The ns manual, VINT Project*, University of California, Berkeley, Calif, USA, 2001, <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns/ns-documentation.html>.
- [17] Recommendation ITU-R P.681-6, “ITU-R P.681-6 Propagation data required for the design of Earth-space land mobile telecommunication systems,” January 2003.



## Special Issue on Patches in Vision

### Call for Papers

The smallest primitive employed for describing an image is the pixel. However, analyzing an image as an ensemble of patches (i.e., spatially adjacent pixels/descriptors which are treated collectively as a single primitive), rather than individual pixels/descriptors, has some inherent advantages (i.e., computation, generalization, context, etc.) for numerous image and video content extraction applications (e.g., matching, correspondence, tracking, rendering, etc.). Common descriptors in literature, other than pixels, have been contours, shape, flow, and so forth.

Recently, many inroads have been made into novel tasks in image and video content extraction through the employment of patch-based representations with machine learning and pattern recognition techniques. Some of these novel areas include (but are not limited to):

- Object recognition/detection/tracking
- Event recognition/detection
- Structure from motion/multiview

In this special issue, we are soliciting papers from the image/video processing, computer vision, and pattern recognition communities that expand and explore the boundaries of patch representations in image and video content extraction.

Relevant topics to the issue include (but are not limited to):

- Novel methods for identifying (e.g., SIFT, DoGs, Harris detector) and employing salient patches
- Techniques that explore criteria for deciding the size and shape of a patch based on image content and the application
- Approaches that explore the employment of multiple and/or heterogeneous patch sizes and shapes during the analysis of an image
- Applications that explore how important relative patch position is, and whether there are advantages in allowing those patches to move freely or in a constrained fashion
- Novel methods that explore and extend the concept of patches to video (e.g. space-time patches/volumes)

- Approaches that draw upon previous work in structural pattern recognition in order to improve current patch-based algorithms
- Novel applications that extend the concept of patch-based analysis to other, hitherto, nonconventional areas of image and video processing, computer vision, and pattern recognition
- Novel techniques for estimating dependencies between patches in the same image (e.g., 3D rotations) to improve matching/correspondence algorithmic performance

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ivp/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscripts through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2008
Publication Date	November 1, 2008

### Guest Editors

**Simon Lucey**, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; [slucey@ieee.org](mailto:slucey@ieee.org)

**Tsuhan Chen**, ECE Department, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA, USA; [tsuhan@cmu.edu](mailto:tsuhan@cmu.edu)

## Special Issue on Network Structure and Biological Function: Reconstruction, Modelling, and Statistical Approaches

### Call for Papers

We are particularly interested in contributions, which elucidate the relationship between structure or dynamics of biological networks and biological function. This relationship may be observed on different scales, for example, on a global scale, or on the level of subnetworks or motifs.

Several levels exist on which to relate biological function to network structure. Given molecular biological interactions, networks may be analysed with respect to their structural and dynamical patterns, which are associated with phenotypes of interest. On the other hand, experimental profiles (e.g., time series, disturbances) can be used to reverse engineer network structures based on a model of the underlying functional network.

Is it possible to detect the interesting features with the current methods? And how is our picture of the relationship between network structure and biological function affected by the choice of methods?

Perspectives both from simulation approaches as well as the evaluation of experimental data and combinations thereof are welcome and will be integrated within this special issue.

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bsb/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2008
Publication Date	December 1, 2008

### Guest Editors

**J. Selbig**, Bioinformatics Chair, Institute for Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Germany;  
[selbig@mpimp-golm.mpg.de](mailto:selbig@mpimp-golm.mpg.de)

**M. Steinfath**, Institute for Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Germany;  
[steinfath@mpimp-golm.mpg.de](mailto:steinfath@mpimp-golm.mpg.de)

**D. Repsilber**, AG Biomathematics & Bioinformatics, Genetics and Biometry and Genetics Section, Research Institute for the Biology of Farm Animals, Dummerstorf, Germany; [repsilber@fhn-dummerstorf.de](mailto:repsilber@fhn-dummerstorf.de)

## Special Issue on Cross-Layer Design for the Physical, MAC, and Link Layer in Wireless Systems

### Call for Papers

Cross-layer design is a common theme for emerging wireless networks, such as cellular systems and ad hoc networks, though the objectives and requirements can be very different. A cross-layer approach is particularly important when designing protocols at the physical (PHY) and medium access control (MAC)/link layer for several reasons. The past decade gave birth to innovative techniques such as opportunistic communication, rate adaptation, and cooperative coding, which all require close interaction between layers. For example, optimizing the rate-delay tradeoff in a multiuser wireless system requires knowledge of PHY parameters such as channel state information (CSI) as well as delay information from the MAC. As another example, the notion of link in wireless relay systems is generalized towards a collection of several physical channels related to more than two nodes. The potential for novel solutions through cross-layer design is further seen in the fact that it motivates collaboration among researchers of different specialties, such as antennas and propagation, signal processing, error-control coding, scheduling, and networking (MAC) protocols.

This special issue is devoted to research efforts that use cross-layer design to jointly optimize the lowest two layers of the wireless communication systems. Such cross-layer solutions will have decisive impact in future wireless networks.

Contributions on the following and related topics are solicited:

- Random access protocols with multipacket reception (MPR), multiantenna MPR protocols
- MAC/ARQ protocols for multiantenna and wireless relay systems
- MAC-level consideration of time-varying channels: channel estimation, channel state information (CSI), and link adaptation
- MAC-level interference mitigation via management of PHY-level multiuser networks: for example, interfering multipleaccess (MA) channels
- MAC/PHY protocols and analysis of cognitive radio networks
- MAC protocols for PHY-restricted devices/circuitry (e.g., RFID)

- PHY-aware scheduling and resource allocation
- Analysis of fundamental tradeoffs at the PHY/MAC interface: rate-delay, energy-delay
- PHY/MAC/link aspects of network coding in wireless networks
- PHY/MAC issues in wireless sensor networks and distributed source co
- Cross-layer optimization of current standards (WiMAX, W-CDMA, etc.)
- Interaction of MAC/PHY design with the circuitry and the battery management

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/asp/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:


Manuscript Due	February 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2008
Publication Date	August 1, 2008

### GUEST EDITORS:

**Petar Popovski**, Center for TeleInfrastructure, Aalborg University, Niels Jernes Vej 12, A5-209, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark; [petarp@kom.aau.dk](mailto:petarp@kom.aau.dk)

**Mary Ann Ingram**, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, 777 Atlantic Drive NW, Atlanta, GA 30332-0250, USA; [maryann.ingram@ee.gatech.edu](mailto:maryann.ingram@ee.gatech.edu)

**Christian B. Peel**, ArrayComm LLC, San Jose, CA 95131-1014, USA; [chris.peel@ieee.org](mailto:chris.peel@ieee.org)



**Shinsuke Hara**, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka  
City University, Osaka-shi 558-8585, Japan;  
hara@info.eng.osaka-cu.ac.jp

**Stavros Toumpis**, Department of Electrical and Computer  
Engineering, University of Cyprus, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus;  
toumpis@ucy.ac.cy

## Special Issue on Formal Techniques for Embedded Systems Design and Validation

### Call for Papers

Computing platforms that are embedded within larger systems they control, called embedded systems, are inherently very complex as they are responsible for controlling and regulating multiple system functionalities. Often embedded systems are also safety-critical requiring high degree of reliability and fault tolerance. Examples include distributed microprocessors controlling the modern cars or aircrafts and airport baggage handling system that track and trace unsafe baggage. To address this growing need for safety and reliability, formal techniques are increasingly being adapted to suit embedded platforms. There has been widespread use of synchronous languages such as Esterel for the design of automotive and flight control software that requires stronger guarantees. Languages like Esterel not only provide nice features for high-level specification but also enable model checking-based verification due to their formal semantics. Other semi-formal notations are also being proposed as standards to specify industrial embedded systems using, for example, the newly developed IEC61499 standard for process control. This standard primarily focuses on component-oriented description of embedded control systems. The goal of this special issue is to bring together a set of high-quality research articles looking at different applications of formal or semiformal techniques in specification, verification, and synthesis of embedded systems.

Topics of interest are (but not limited to):

- Verification of system-level languages
- Verification of embedded processors
- Models of computation and verification
- Models of computation for heterogeneous embedded systems
- IP verification issues
- Open system verification techniques such as module checking and applications of module checking
- Formal techniques for protocol matching and interface process generation
- Applications of DES control theory in open system verification

- Adaptive techniques for open system verification
- Verification techniques for automatic debugging of embedded systems
- Formal approaches for secure embedded systems
- Hardware-software coverification of embedded systems
- Compositional approaches for SOC verification
- Verification of distributed embedded system

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/es/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Embedded Systems manuscript format described at the journal's site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/es/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal's Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	August 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	November 1, 2008
Publication Date	February 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Partha S. Roop**, Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand; [p.roop@auckland.ac.nz](mailto:p.roop@auckland.ac.nz)

**Samik Basu**, Department of Computer Science, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA; [sbasu@cs.iastate.edu](mailto:sbasu@cs.iastate.edu)

**Chong-Min Kyung**, Department of Electrical Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, South Korea; [kyung@ee.kaist.ac.kr](mailto:kyung@ee.kaist.ac.kr)

**Gregor Goessler**, INRIA Grenoble-Rhône-Alpes, 38334 Saint Ismier Cedex, France; [gregor.goessler@inrialpes.fr](mailto:gregor.goessler@inrialpes.fr)

## Special Issue on Selected Papers from SLA++P 2007 Model-Driven High-Level Programming of Embedded Systems

### Call for Papers

Model-based high-level programming of embedded systems has become a reality in the automotive and avionics industries. These industries place high demands on the efficiency and maintainability of the design process as well as on the performance and functional correctness of embedded components. These goals are hard to reconcile in the face of the increasing complexity of embedded applications and target architectures that we see today. Research efforts towards meeting these goals have brought about a variety of high-level engineering design languages, tools, and methodologies. Their strength resides in clean behavioral models with strong semantical foundations providing a rigorous way to go from a high-level description to provable, that is, mathematically certifiable, executable code.

Undebatably, the most successful representatives of this trend of putting logic and mathematics behind design automation in embedded systems (known as Mike Fourman's "Lambda" programme) are synchronous languages. Firmly grounded in clean mathematical semantics, they have been receiving increasing attention in industry ever since they emerged in the 1980s. Lustre, Esterel, Signal are now widely and successfully used to program real-time and safety critical applications, from nuclear power plant management layer to Airbus air flight control systems. Their recent successes in the automatic control industry highlight the benefits of formal verification and automatic code generation from high-level models.

Model-based programming is making its way in other fields of software engineering, too, often involving cyclic synchronous paradigms. Strong interest is emerging in component programming for large-scale embedded systems, in the link between simulation tools and compiler tools, in languages for describing the system and its environment, integrated tools for both compilation and simulation of more general models of communication and coordination, and so on. The impact of such unifying methodologies will depend, among other things, on the extent to which it will be possible to maintain the high degree of predictability and verifiability of system behavior that is the strength of the classic synchronous world.

Topics of interest for this special issue cover, among others, the following:

- Synchronous programming formalisms
- Novel language paradigms blending synchrony with asynchrony and nondeterminism, discrete with continuous control
- Techniques for component abstraction and refinement
- New models of communication and coordination for embedded systems
- Model-based compilation and simulation techniques
- Specification, verification, and model-based testing
- Case-studies, industrial and teaching experiences

Submission to this special issue limited to the participants of the SLA++P conference who have been invited to submit to this issue.

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Embedded Systems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/es/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2008
Publication Date	September 1, 2008

### Guest Editors

**Florence Maraninchi**, VERIMAG Laboratory, 38610 Gieres, France; [florence.maraninchi@imag.fr](mailto:florence.maraninchi@imag.fr)

**Michael Mandler**, University of Bamberg, 96045 Bamberg, Germany; [michael.mandler@wiai.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:michael.mandler@wiai.uni-bamberg.de)

**Marc Pouzet**, Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique (LRI), Université Paris-Sud 11, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France; [marc.pouzet@lri.fr](mailto:marc.pouzet@lri.fr)

# RESEARCH LETTERS IN COMMUNICATIONS

## Why publish in this journal?

**Research Letters in Communications** is devoted to very fast publication of short, high-quality manuscripts in the broad field of communications. The journal aims for a publication speed of 60 days from submission until final publication. Articles will be limited to a maximum of four published pages, and they should convey important results that have not been previously published.

### Why publish in this journal?

#### Wide Dissemination

All articles published in the journal are freely available online with no subscription or registration barriers. Every interested reader can download, print, read, and cite your article

#### Quick Publication

The journal employs an online «Manuscript Tracking System» which helps streamline and speed the peer review so all manuscripts receive fast and rigorous peer review. Accepted articles appear online as soon as they are accepted, and shortly after, the final published version is released online following a thorough in-house production process.

#### Professional Publishing Services

The journal provides professional copyediting, typesetting, graphics, editing, and reference validation to all accepted manuscripts.

#### Keeping Your Copyright

Authors retain the copyright of their manuscript, which are published using the “Creative Commons Attribution License,” which permits unrestricted use of all published material provided that it is properly cited.

#### Extensive Indexing


Articles published in this journal will be indexed in several major indexing databases to ensure the maximum possible visibility of each published article.

### Submit your Manuscript Now...

Please visit the journal’s website found at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/rlc/> in order to submit your manuscript and click on the “Manuscript Submission” link in the navigational bar.

Should you need help or have any questions, please drop an email to the journal’s editorial office at [rlc@hindawi.com](mailto:rlc@hindawi.com)

ISSN: 1687-6741; e-ISSN: 1687-675X; doi:10.1155/RLC



#### Editor-in-Chief

Maria-Gabriella Di Benedetto  
*Italy*

#### Associate Editors

Huseyin Arslan  
*USA*

E. K. S. Au  
*Hong Kong*

Zhi Ning Chen  
*Singapore*

René Cumplido  
*Mexico*

Michele Elia  
*Italy*

Lijia Ge  
*China*

Amoakoh Gyasi-Agyei  
*Australia*

Peter Jung  
*Germany*

Thomas Kaiser  
*Germany*

Rajesh Khanna  
*India*

David I. Laurenson  
*UK*

Petri Mahonen  
*Germany*

Montse Najar  
*Spain*

Markus Rupp  
*Austria*

Nikos C. Sagiias  
*Greece*

Theodoros Tsiftsis  
*Greece*

Laura Vanzago  
*Italy*

Yang Xiao  
*USA*

Guosen Yue  
*USA*

Hindawi Publishing Corporation

410 Park Avenue, 15th Floor, #287 pmb, New York, NY 10022, USA

HINDAWI